

*The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

YOLANY PADILLA, IBIS GUZMAN, BLANCA  
ORANTES, BALTAZAR VASQUEZ,  
Plaintiffs-Petitioners,

v.

U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT  
("ICE"); U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND  
SECURITY ("DHS"); U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER  
PROTECTION ("CBP"); U.S. CITIZENSHIP AND  
IMMIGRATION SERVICES ("USCIS"); EXECUTIVE  
OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW ("EOIR");  
MATTHEW ALBENCE, Acting Director of ICE; KEVIN  
MCALEENAN, Acting Secretary of DHS; MARK  
MORGAN, Acting Commissioner of CBP; KEN  
CUCCINELLI, Acting Director of USCIS; MARC J.  
MOORE, Seattle Field Office Director, ICE, WILLAIM  
BARR, United States Attorney General; LOWELL CLARK,  
warden of the Northwest Detention Center in Tacoma,  
Washington; CHARLES INGRAM, warden of the Federal  
Detention Center in SeaTac, Washington; DAVID SHINN,  
warden of the Federal Correctional Institute in Victorville,  
California; JAMES JANECKA, warden of the Adelanto  
Detention Facility;

Defendants-Respondents.

No. 2:18-cv-928 MJP

**JOINT STATUS REPORT  
AND STIPULATION AND  
[PROPOSED] ORDER  
EXTENDING DEADLINE  
TO RESPOND TO  
COURT'S 7/29/22 ORDER**

NOTE ON MOTION  
CALENDAR: AUGUST 19, 2022.

The parties hereby respond to this Court's order of July 29, 2022 (ECF no. 183), and advise the following.

On September 11, 2020, this Court stayed this case upon agreement of the parties, because the Government filed a petition for writ of certiorari in the United States Supreme Court. ECF no. 165. On January 11, 2021, the Supreme Court issued an order granting the Government's petition, vacating the decision of the Court of Appeals, and remanding to the Ninth Circuit "for further consideration in light of *Department of Homeland Security v. Thuraissigiam*, 591 U.S. \_\_\_\_

**JOINT STATUS REPORT  
AND STIPULATION AND  
[PROPOSED] ORDER  
EXTENDING DEADLINE TO  
RESPOND TO COURT'S  
7/29/22 ORDER** - 1

U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division  
Office of Immigration Litigation, District Court Section  
PO Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044  
Telephone (202) 616-4458

1 (2020).” *U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement v. Padilla*, 141 S. Ct. 1041 (Mem) (2021).  
 2 On February 12, 2021, the Supreme Court issued its judgment remanding the case to the Ninth  
 3 Circuit. *See* Ninth Circuit Docket no. 79.

4 On remand, the parties agreed to several periods of abeyance before the Ninth Circuit, to  
 5 allow incoming Department of Justice and Department of Homeland Security officials to become  
 6 familiar with this case. On July 7, 2021, the Ninth Circuit referred the case to the Circuit Mediator  
 7 to explore the potential for settlement. *See* Ninth Circuit Docket no. 86.

9 After settlement talks concluded, the Ninth Circuit received briefing from the parties on  
 10 the impact of the Supreme Court’s decisions in *Biden v. Texas*, 142 S. Ct. 2528 (2022), *Garland*  
 11 *v. Aleman Gonzalez*, 142 S. Ct. 2057 (2022). On June 30, 2022, the Ninth Circuit entered an order  
 12 remanding this case to this court with instructions to vacate the preliminary injunction and for  
 13 further consideration in light of the Supreme Court’s decisions in *Biden v. Texas*, *Garland v.*  
 14 *Aleman Gonzalez*, and *Department of Homeland Security v. Thuraissigiam*, 140 S. Ct. 1959  
 15 (2020). The mandate issued on July 29, 2022, and on the same date, this court entered an order  
 16 vacating the preliminary injunction and ordering the parties to meet and confer and file a Joint  
 17 Status Report setting forth the Parties’ respective positions as to what briefing they would like to  
 18 file to address the authority cited by the Ninth Circuit’s Order and the Parties’ proposed trial date  
 19 and case deadlines that the Court should set. ECF no(s). 182-83.

22 The parties have discussed the next steps in this litigation over email and over the phone,  
 23 and those discussion continue. The parties are hopeful that they will reach agreement on the next  
 24 steps in this litigation, but need more time to complete those discussions. The parties request that  
 25 this Court extend the deadline to respond to the Court’s July 29, 2022 order (ECF no. 183) for an  
 26

**JOINT STATUS REPORT  
 AND STIPULATION AND  
 [PROPOSED] ORDER  
 EXTENDING DEADLINE TO  
 RESPOND TO COURT’S  
 7/29/22 ORDER - 2**

U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division  
 Office of Immigration Litigation, District Court Section  
 PO Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
 Washington, DC 20044  
 Telephone (202) 616-4458

1 additional two weeks (to and including Friday, September 2, 2022) so they can continue their  
2 discussions regarding the next steps in this case, a proposed trial date, and case deadlines. The  
3 parties suggest that this request satisfies the good cause requirement in Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 6(b), and  
4 represent that this request is not for the purpose of undue delay. Accordingly, the parties have  
5 attached a proposed order to that effect.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**JOINT STATUS REPORT  
AND STIPULATION AND  
[PROPOSED] ORDER  
EXTENDING DEADLINE TO  
RESPOND TO COURT'S  
7/29/22 ORDER - 3**

U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division  
Office of Immigration Litigation, District Court Section  
PO Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044  
Telephone (202) 616-4458

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of August, 2022.

s/ Matt Adams

Matt Adams, WSBA No. 28287

Email: matt@nwirp.org

Aaron Korthuis, WSBA No. 53974

Email: [aaron@nwirp.org](mailto:aaron@nwirp.org)

NORTHWEST IMMIGRANT  
RIGHTS PROJECT

615 Second Avenue, Suite 400

Seattle, WA 98104

Telephone: (206) 957-8611

Facsimile: (206) 587-4025

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Petitioners*

Trina Realmuto\*

Kristin Macleod-Ball \*

NATIONAL IMMIGRATION  
LITIGATION ALLIANCE

10 Griggs Terrace

Brookline, MA 02446

(617) 819-4447

[trina@immigrationlitigation.org](mailto:trina@immigrationlitigation.org)

Judy Rabinovitz\*

Michael Tan\*

Anand Balakrishnan\*

ACLU IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS  
PROJECT

125 Broad Street, 18th floor

New York, NY 10004

(212) 549-2618

\*Admitted *pro hac vice*

BRIAN M. BOYNTON

*Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General*  
Civil Division

WILLIAM C. PEACHEY

Director, District Court Section

Office of Immigration Litigation

EREZ REUVENI

Assistant Director, District Court Section

Office of Immigration Litigation

SARAH S. WILSON

Assistant Director, Appellate Section

/s/ Lauren C. Bingham

LAUREN C. BINGHAM, Fl. Bar #105745

Senior Litigation Counsel,

District Court Section

Office of Immigration Litigation

Civil Division

P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station

Washington, DC 20044

(202) 616-4458; (202) 305-7000 (fax)

[lauren.c.bingham@usdoj.gov](mailto:lauren.c.bingham@usdoj.gov)

*Attorneys for Defendants-Respondents*

**JOINT STATUS REPORT  
AND STIPULATION AND  
[PROPOSED] ORDER  
EXTENDING DEADLINE TO  
RESPOND TO COURT'S  
7/29/22 ORDER - 4**

U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division  
Office of Immigration Litigation, District Court Section  
PO Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044  
Telephone (202) 616-4458

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Based on the foregoing stipulation of the parties, IT IS SO ORDERED. The deadline to file the Joint Status Report setting forth the Parties' respective positions as to what briefing they would like to file to address the authority cited by the Ninth Circuit's June 30, 2022 Order and the Parties' proposed trial date and case deadlines that the Court should set is extended to September 2, 2022.

DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of August, 2022.

\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**JOINT STATUS REPORT  
AND STIPULATION AND  
[PROPOSED] ORDER  
EXTENDING DEADLINE TO  
RESPOND TO COURT'S  
7/29/22 ORDER - 5**

U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division  
Office of Immigration Litigation, District Court Section  
PO Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044  
Telephone (202) 616-4458

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 19, 2022, I had the foregoing electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to those attorneys of record registered on the CM/ECF system. All other parties (if any) shall be served in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

/s/ Lauren C. Bingham  
LAUREN C. BINGHAM  
Senior Litigation Counsel

Attorney for Defendants-Respondents